Periodic disclosure for financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraph 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable

investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of environmentally sustainable economic activities. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Product name: DB ESG Growth SAA (EUR)

Legal entity identifier: 2549000KG5DDDN6SKQ26

ISIN: LU2132882965

Environmental and/or social characteristics







Sustainability indicators measure

how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained. To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

Environmental and social characteristics were promoted by investing at least 51% of the sub-fund's net assets in investments (e.g. investment funds, equities or bonds) that had at least an MSCI ESG Rating of BBB. MSCI analyzed various environmental and social characteristics to assign a certain ESG rating. The attainment of the promoted environmental and social characteristics was assessed via the application of MSCI ESG data as further described in the section headed "What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?"

In addition to the MSCI ESG minimum rating, the investment advisor and the sub-fund manager applied exclusion criteria, based on data provided by MSCI. For clarification, these exclusion criteria did not apply to cash, cash equivalents, and derivatives.

• The sub-fund excluded companies that were in violation of the UN Global Compact principles or the OECD Guidelines for multinational enterprises, and it also excluded investment funds investing in assets that were in violation of the UN Global Compact principles.

• The sub-fund excluded investments into investment funds that, according to MSCI data, were invested in controversial business sectors that generated revenues exceeding certain thresholds. For purposes of this exclusion assessment, only relevant fund holdings available to MSCI were assessed. This might have meant that the sub-fund invested in investment funds with holdings where MSCI had no data available. For the avoidance of doubt, the above exclusion criteria did not apply to investment funds that predominantly invested in instruments issued by sovereigns.

• The sub-fund excluded direct investments into financial instruments issued by companies (if applicable) that generated revenues exceeding the thresholds specified below.

Details regarding the methodology to assess the aforementioned characteristics were further described in the section headed "What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?"

Derivatives were not used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the sub-fund.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

The attainment of the promoted environmental and social characteristics was assessed via the application of MSCI ESG data. The methodology applied a variety of assessment categories used as sustainability indicators to assess the attainment of the promoted environmental and social characteristics, which were as follows:

 %-share of the sub-fund's net assets that were invested in investment funds and securities of issuers that possessed at least an MSCI ESG Rating of BBB.
Performance: 99,9%

• %-share of the sub-fund's net assets that were issued by companies in violation of the UN Global Compact principles or the OECD Guidelines for multinational enterprises and %-share of investment funds in violation of the UN Global Compact principles. Performance: 0,09%

• %-share of the sub-fund's net assets that were invested in investment funds investing in controversial business sectors generating revenues exceeding a predefined revenue threshold, excluding investment funds predominantly investing in instruments issued by sovereigns. Performance: No investments in suboptimal assets

• %-share of the sub-fund's net assets that were direct investments in instruments issued by companies (if applicable) from controversial business sectors generating revenues exceeding a predefined revenue threshold.

Performance: No investments in suboptimal assets

Please see the section entitled "What actions were taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?" for a description of the binding elements of the investment strategy used to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics promoted, including the exclusion criteria, and the assessment methodology for determining whether and to what extent assets met the defined environmental and/or social characteristics (including the turnover thresholds defined for the exclusions). This section contains further information on the sustainability indicators.

The values from the DWS front office system are used to calculate the sustainability indicators. This means that there may be minor deviations from the other market values that appear in the annual report, which are derived from the fund accounting system.

...and compared to previous periods?

Attainment of the promoted environmental and social characteristics at portfolio level was measured in the previous year on the basis of the following sustainability indicators:

DB ESG Growth SAA (EUR)			
Indicators	Description	Performance	
Sustainable Indicators			
ESG Quality	The sub-fund invests in instruments that have at least an MSCI ESG Rating of BBB	99.8% of portfolio volume	
Fossil Fuel-based exclusion	The sub-fund excludes issuers active in the fossil fuel sector that exceed predefined turnover threshold	0% of portfolio volume	
Norm-based exclusions	The sub-fund excludes issuers that are in violation of the UN Global Compact principles or the OECD Guidelines for multinational enterprises	0% of portfolio volume	
Controversial Weapons	The sub-fund excludes issuers that have exposure to controversial weapons	0% of portfolio volume	

As of: December 30, 2022

The disclosure of the sustainability indicators has been revised compared with the prior-year report. The assessment methodology is unchanged. Additional information on the currently valid sustainability indicators is provided in the section entitled "What actions were taken to meet the environmental and/ or social characteristics during the reference period?"

Information about taking into account the principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors is provided in the section entitled "How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?"

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union Criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union Criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union Criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

Principal adverse

decisions on sustainability factors

relating to

impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment

environmental, social

rights, anti-corruption

and anti-bribery matters.

and employee matters, respect for human

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

Yes, the sub-fund management considered the following principle adverse impacts on sustainability factors from Annex I of the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1288 supplementing the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation:

- Carbon footprint (no. 2);
- GHG intensity of investee companies (no. 3);
- Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector (no. 4);
- Violation of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for multinational enterprises (no. 10); and
- · Exposure to controversial weapons (no. 14).

DB ESG Growth SAA (EUR)

Indicators	Description	Performance
Principal Adverse Impact		
PAII - 02. Carbon Footprint - EUR	The carbon footprint is expressed as tonnes of CO2 emissions per million EUR invested. The CO2 emissions of an issuer are normalised by its enterprise value including cash (EVIC)	346.91 tCO2e / million EUR
PAII - 03. Carbon Intensity	Weighted average carbon intensity scope 1+2+3	667.85 tCO2e / million EUR
PAII - 04. Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector	Share of investments in companies active in the fossil fuel sector	11.65 % of assets
PAII - 10. Violations of UNGC principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Share of investments in investee companies that have been involved in violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	0.09 % of assets
PAII - 14. Exposure to controversial weapons	Share of investments in investee companies involved in the manufacture or selling of controversial weapons (anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons)	0 % of assets

As of: December 29, 2023

The Principal Adverse Impact Indicators (PAIIs) are calculated on the basis of the data in the DWS back office and front office systems, which are primarily based on the data of external ESG data providers. If there is no data on individual PAIIs for individual securities or their issuers, either because no data is available or the PAII is not applicable to the particular issuer or security, these securities or issuers are not included in the calculation of the PAII. With target fund investments, a look-through of the target fund holdings is performed if appropriate data is available. The calculation method for the individual PAI indicators may change in subsequent reporting periods due to evolving market standards, a change in the treatment of securities of certain types of instruments (such as derivatives) or as a result of regulatory clarifications.

Moreover, improved data availability may have an effect on the reported PAIIs in subsequent reporting periods.

DB ESG Growth SAA (EUR)

Largest investments	Breakdown by sector according to NACE Codes	in % of average portfolio volume	Breakdown by country
Xtrackers MSCI USA ESG UCITS ETF 1C	K - Financial and insurance activities	17.9 %	Ireland
iShares IV- iShares MSCI EM Enhanc USD Acc	NA - Other	17.8 %	Ireland
iShares IV- iShares MSCI EMU ESG Enhanced EUR Acc	NA - Other	17.4 %	Ireland
Xtr II EUR Corp Bd Short Dur SRI PAB UCITS ETF 1C	NA - Other	7.0 %	Luxembourg
Xtrackers II Eurozone Gov. Bond 1-3 UCITS ETF 1C	K - Financial and insurance activities	7.0 %	Eurozone
BNPP Easy JPM ESG EMU Govt. Bond IG 3-5Y EUR Acc	NA - Other	5.5 %	Luxembourg
iShares EUR High Yield Corp Bond ESG UCITS ETF	K - Financial and insurance activities	5.0 %	Ireland
Xtrackers MSCI UK ESG UCITS ETF 1D	K - Financial and insurance activities	4.4 %	Luxembourg
Xtrackers MSCI Japan ESG UCITS ETF 1C	K - Financial and insurance activities	4.3 %	Ireland
iShares IV- iShares MSCI USA ESG Enhanced USD Acc	NA - Other	4.1 %	Ireland
BNP PE-EO Co.Bd.SRI 3-5Y ETF	NA - Other	3.0 %	Luxembourg
iShares EO Corp Bond 0-3yr ESG UCITS ETF EUR(Dist)	K - Financial and insurance activities	2.3 %	Ireland
iShares - DL Treasury Bond 1-3 UCITS ETF (Dist.)	K - Financial and insurance activities	0.7 %	Ireland

for the period from January 01, 2023, through December 29, 2023

The list includes the investments constituting the greatest proportion of investments of the financial product during the reference period which is: for the period from January 01, 2023, through December 31, 2023



Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets. What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

The proportion of sustainability-related investments as of the reporting date was 99.9% of portfolio assets. Proportion of sustainability-related investments for the previous year: 99.9%

What was the asset allocation?

This sub-fund invested at least 99.9% of its net assets in investments that were aligned with the promoted environmental and social characteristics (#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics).

0.1% of the investments were not aligned with these characteristics (#2 Other).



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category #1 Aligned with E/S characteristics covers:

- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

DB ESG Growth SAA (EUR)					
NACE- Code	Breakdown by sector according to NACE Codes	in % of portfolio volume			
К	Financial and insurance activities	40.6 %			
NA	Other	59.4 %			
Exposure to active in the	companies fossil fuel sector	11.6 %			

As of: December 29, 2023

To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

Due to a lack of reliable data the sub-fund did not commit to invest a minimum proportion of sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy. Therefore, the promoted minimum percentage of environmentally sustainable investments aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 0% of the sub-fund's net assets. However, it may occur that part of the investments' underlying economic activities were aligned with the EU Taxonomy.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy¹?

Yes:

In fossil gas

In nuclear energy

X No

The sub-fund did not take into account the taxonomy-conformity of investments in the fossil gas and/or nuclear energy sectors. Nevertheless, it might have occured that as part of the investment strategy the sub-fund also invested in issuers that were also active in these areas.

¹ Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do no significant harm to any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

To comply with the EU Taxonomy, the criteria for **fossil gas** include limitations on emissions and switching to fully renewable power or low-carbon fuels by the end of 2035. For **nuclear energy**, the criteria include comprehensive safety and waste management rules.

Enabling activities

Directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

Transitional activities

Are economic activities for yet low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance. Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of - turnover reflecting the share of revenue from green activities of investee companies. - capital expenditure (CapEx) showing the green investments made by investee companies, e.g. for a transition to a green economy. - operational expenditure (OpEx)

expenditure (OpEx) reflecting the green operational activities of investee companies. The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the Taxonomyalignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy-alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy-alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.

1. Taxonomy-alignment of investments 2. Taxonomy-alignment of investments including sovereign bonds* excluding sovereign bonds* Turnover 0% Turnover 0% OpEx 0% OpEx 0% CapEx 0% CapEx 0% 100% 50% 0% 50% 0% 100% Taxonomy-aligned: Fossil gas Taxonomy-aligned: Fossil gas 0.00% 0.00% Taxonomy-aligned: Nuclear 0.00% Taxonomy-aligned: Nuclear 0.00% Taxonomy-aligned (no gas and 0.00% Taxonomy-aligned (no gas and 0.00% nuclear) nuclear) Taxonomy-aligned 0.00% Taxonomy-aligned 0.00% Non Taxonomy-aligned 100.00% Non Taxonomy-aligned 100.00% This graph represents 100% of the total

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

The sub-fund did not have a minimum share of investments in transitional and enabling activities, as it did not commit to a minimum proportion of environmentally sustainable investments aligned with the EU Taxonomy.

investments.

How did the percentage of investments that are aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

The promoted proportion of environmentally sustainable investments in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2020/852 (Taxonomy Regulation) was 0% of the fund's assets in the current as well as previous reference periods. It may, however, have been the case that some sustainable investments were nevertheless aligned with an environmental objective of the Taxonomy Regulation.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The sub-fund did not promote a minimum share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective that were not aligned with the EU Taxonomy.

1

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The sub-fund did not promote a minimum share of socially sustainable investments.

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into** account the criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities under the Regulation (EU) 2020/852.



What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

This sub-fund promoted a predominant asset allocation in investments aligned with environmental and social characteristics (#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics). Additionally, and as a secondary aspect, this sub-fund invested in instruments not deemed aligned with the promoted characteristics (#2 Other). These remaining investments did encompass all asset classes outlined in the specific investment policy, including cash, cash equivalents, and derivatives categorized under #2 Other. Aligned with this sub-fund's market positioning, these remaining investments aimed to offer investors exposure to non-ESG aligned investments while maintaining a predominant exposure to environmentally and socially aligned investments. The portfolio management might have utilized these remaining investments for performance optimization, diversification, liquidity, and hedging purposes. This sub-fund did not consider any minimum environmental or social safeguards on these remaining investments.



What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

This sub-fund pursued a strategy based on multiple asset classes as main investment strategy. The sub-fund seeked to gain indirect exposure to three primary asset class portfolios (Fixed Income Portfolio: up to 60%, Equity Portfolio: 40–80%, Alternatives Portfolio: 0–15%) diversified among and within themselves (each, a Portfolio and together the Portfolios) in proportions that were consistent with the Investment Objective. The sub-fund manager implemented the sub-fund's investment policy predominantly via investments in UCITS and other UCIs. In doing so, the sub-fund pursuited a fund-of-fund strategy. Further details regarding the main investment strategy are specified in the Special Section of the Sales Prospectus. The sub-fund's assets were predominantly allocated into investments that complied with the defined standards in respect to the promoted environmental and social characteristics was integral part of the ESG assessment methodology, which was continuously monitored via the sub-fund's investment guidelines.

The sub-fund management and the investment advisor relied on data from MSCI, an external ESG (Environment, Social, (Corporate) Governance) data provider, when conducting fundamental analysis of the investment universe in order to take ESG criteria into account in the selection of target funds or the issuers of financial instruments. The sub- fund management incorporated the results of this analysis and the investment advisor's investment recommendations based on it when taking its own investment decisions.

At least 51% of the sub-fund's net assets were invested in investment funds and securities of issuers that possessed an MSCI ESG rating of at least BBB and that met defined minimum standards with regard to ESG criteria. In addition, instruments (e.g. investment funds, equities or bonds) could be acquired that had no MSCI ESG rating. MSCI assigned an ESG rating from AAA (highest score) to CCC (lowest score). This MSCI ESG rating is intended to make ESG characteristics more understandable and measurable.

At least 51% of the sub-fund's net assets met the sub-fund's ESG criteria at the time of purchase. If sub-fund investments no longer met the minimum standards for ESG criteria of the sub-fund, the sub-fund could continue to hold these investments until (from the perspective of the sub-fund manager) it was possible and practical to liquidate the position, as long as at least 51% of the sub-fund's net assets met the ESG criteria.

ESG rating for funds:

MSCI assigned an ESG rating for a fund including an ETF based on the weighted average of the individual ESG scores of the assets held in the fund – according to the fund's most recently published holdings. This excluded positions of cash and cash equivalents and certain derivatives. The ESG rating of the fund may changed either due to changes in the ESG ratings of the securities held in the fund or due to a change in the composition of the analysed fund. MSCI would have assigned ESG ratings to funds if a certain coverage ratio of a fund's holdings has been rated by MSCI for ESG purposes.

ESG Rating for companies:

MSCI assigned an ESG rating for companies by assessing the ESG performance of a company independently of its financial success on the basis of various ESG criteria. These ESG criteria related to the following topics, among others:

Environmental

- Preservation of biodiversity
- Protection of natural resources
- Mitigation of climate change
- Avoidance of environmental pollution and waste

Social

- General human rights
- Ban on child labour and forced labour
- Mandatory non-discrimination
- Careful management of human capital
- Support for social opportunity

Corporate governance

- Corporate principles in accordance with the International Corporate Governance Network
- Principles of combating corruption in accordance with the UN Global Compact

ESG rating for sovereigns and affiliated issuers:

MSCI assigned an ESG rating for issuers such as sovereigns, regional authorities and issuers affiliated with sovereigns with a view to the ESG risk factors in the value chain of the relevant country. The focus here was on the stewardship of resources, the entitlement to basic services and performance. Natural, financial and human resources differed from country to country and therefore resulted in different starting points for the manufacture of productive goods and the provision of services. Other factors, such as a government and justice system that was recognized and effective from an ESG perspective, a low level of susceptibility to environmental impacts or other external factors, and a supportive economic environment could also influence the use of these resources.

The sub-fund manager evaluated potential investments using the above MSCI ESG rating.

Cash, cash equivalents and derivatives would not be assessed via the ESG assessment methodology.

In addition to the MSCI ESG minimum rating, the sub-fund manager applied exclusion criteria, based on data provided by MSCI. For clarification these exclusion criteria did not apply to cash, cash equivalents and derivatives.

o The sub-fund excluded companies that were in violation of the UN Global Compact principles or the OECD Guidelines for multinational enterprises and it also excluded investment funds investing into assets that were in violation of the UN Global Compact principles.

o The sub-fund excluded investments into investment funds that according to MSCI data were invested in controversial business sectors that generated revenues exceeding certain thresholds. For purposes of this exclusion assessment only relevant fund holdings as available to MSCI were assessed, this may therefore mean that the sub-fund invested in investment funds with holdings where MSCI had no data available. For the avoidance of doubt the below exclusion criteria did not apply to investment funds that invest predominantly in instruments issued by sovereigns.

Exclusions for funds with revenue threshold*

- Thermal coal 15%
- Controversial weapons 0%
- Nuclear weapons 0%
- Conventional weapons 10%
- Firearms 10%
- Tobacco production 5%

* These revenue thresholds applied to fund holdings as per MSCI data

o The sub-fund excluded direct investment into financial instruments issued by companies (if applicable) that generated revenues exceeding the threshold specified below.

Exclusions for companies with revenue threshold

- Thermal coal 5%
- Unconventional oil and gas 5%
- Controversial weapons 0%
- Nuclear weapons 0%
- Conventional weapons 5%
- Firearms 5%
- Tobacco production 5%
- Uranium mining 0%
- Nuclear power supply 5%
- Gambling 5%
- Adult entertainment 5%
- Biocides production 5%
- Genetically modified organisms 0%
- · Palm oil from non-certified sources 0%

The applied ESG investment strategy did not pursue a committed minimum reduction of the scope of the investments.

To the extent that the sub-fund invested directly into financial instruments other than investment funds, the following applied: The procedure to assess the good governance practices of the investee companies was based on the analysis of the corporate principles in accordance with the International Corporate Governance Network – Principles of combating corruption in accordance with the UN Global Compact.



How did this financial product perform compared to the reference sustainable benchmark?

This sub-fund had not designated a reference benchmark to determine whether it was aligned with the environmental and/or social characteristics that it promoted.

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.